



Surrey Heath Local Area Committee
1 October 2015

Annex B

Written Public Questions, Responses and Supplementary questions

Q. Written question from Mr L. G Davison, Lightwater Resident

I have a question about the SHBC owned public car park accessed from the Roundabout on the A322 at Gordons School, West End. This a query concerning both the safety of access (especially exiting onto a busy A322) & the condition for parking vehicles on a poor surface.

For some time it was difficult to negotiate the pot-holed steep ramp up from the roundabout and the actual parking area was often 100mm+ deep in mud, with large areas of ponding too.

SHBC agreed to make '*incremental improvements*' as budget became available during 2015 FY.

The work over the next few weeks included resurfacing the track from the top of the ramp (but not the actual ramp itself leading down to the A322) thru to the Bridlepath leading to a dwelling called Sunnymede, erecting a new top-quality post & rail fence around the perimeter installing '*No Vehicular Access*' signs for the Bridleway entrance and painting the Height Restriction gate at the top of the ramp ... nothing to improve the actual parking surface for cars ...

It seems to me that everything but the actual parking capability was given attention - even a new sign promoting the Car Park was installed next to the ramp a few weeks ago, but the car park itself remains in a sorry state with ponding, tree roots and thick mud - and just up the road at Turf Hill is a good example of how an SHBC owned '*Country side*' Car park can be maintained.

Can I ask that the LC help users of this Car Park get the promised improvements before the wet weather season please?

A. Response from Chairman on behalf of the Committee:

To be tabled at the meeting.

Q. Written question from Graham O'Connell, Frimley Green Resident

The proposal to replace the roundabouts in Frimley Green with 4-way traffic lights is not supported by *any* evidence in the Traffic Impact Report and it is clear that important factors - such as safety, rat runs and projections on how much queues will increase - that might have influenced the decision were simply not presented to councillors. In the light of this, would it not be wise for the council to pause the plans for implementation and seek further, more robust advice before proceeding rather than ploughing on with what might turn out to be a costly and controversial mistake?

A. Response from Chairman on behalf of the Committee:

To be tabled at the meeting.